LITTLE NESCOPECK CREEK WATERSHED CONSERVATION MANAGEMENT PLAN

2000

WILDLANDS CONSERVANCY

Chris M. Kocher Director, Rivers Program

and

Abigail M. Pattishall
Biologist,
Little Nescopeck Project Manager

This project was financed in part by a grant from the Keystone Recreation, Park and Conservation Fund, under the administration of the Department of Conservation and Natural Resources, Bureau of Recreation and Conservation.

Little Nescopeck Creek Watershed Conservation Management Plan

A project of

Wildlands Conservancy's Rivers Department

Chris M. Kocher Director, Rivers Department

> Abigail M. Pattishall Biologist

Brian J. VadinoStream Restoration Specialist

Greggory L. Woodruff Environmental Scientist



ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

Numerous individuals, groups, agencies and companies cooperated in the completion of this project. Wildlands Conservancy was fortunate to have an impressive group of partners for the project, including Susquehanna River Basin Commission, who along with the Conservancy was responsible for Assessment of Conditions Contributing Acid Mine Drainage to the Little Nescopeck Creek Watershed, Luzerne County, Pennsylvania, and an Abatement Plan to Mitigate Impaired Water Quality in the Watershed, the report frequently cited in this management plan. Paula Ballaron and Donna Fiscus from the SRBC were instrumental in the production of this report. Project partners also include Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (Pa. DEP), Bureau of Mining and Reclamation, especially Roger Hornburger, Keith Laslow, Ignacy Nasilowski, Tom Owen and Mike Menghini for their technical assistance. We also thank Pa, DEP, Bureau of Abandoned Mine Reclamation, especially Michael Ferko, Todd Wood, Kim Snyder and Gary Greenfield for their technical assistance and grant administration. We additionally recognize Pa. DEP for its Citizens' Water Quality Monitoring Program and the U.S. Geological Survey, Water Resources Division, especially John Rote and Randy Durlin, for their technical assistance and maintenance of the gaging station.

We are grateful to Friends of the Nescopeck, especially members Drew Magill and Alan Gregory for their volunteer water quality monitoring and invaluable local knowledge. In addition, the project would not have been possible without the help of Dr. Duane Braun of Bloomsburg University, who shared his knowledge and astute field observations of the area. Richard Smith, also from Bloomsburg University, is recognized for fieldwork as well as local knowledge. Bill Toothill, Brian Oram, Jason Iaccio, Darren Gates, and Jim Thomas, from Wilkes University are especially thanked for their GIS information, fieldwork and technical assistance. We would also like to acknowledge the Eastern Pennsylvania Coalition of Abandoned Mine Reclamation, especially Robert Hughes for his technical assistance. Kings College also provided technical assistance and Pennsylvania State University-Hazleton Campus provided rainfall data for the project. Special thanks are also given to Louis Pagnotti, III, who allowed access to his property and the Hazleton Shaft for installation of a water level recorder and provided historical mine maps and other data.

Thanks also to Terry Hough and Todd Stell from the Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources. Both served as project officers for the Little Nescopeck Creek watershed and provided Wildlands Conservancy with funding and administrative assistance.

The authors are grateful to these organizations and their staffs who represented the partners at numerous planning meetings and aided in the completion of this project. Without their hard work and dedication to the project this management plan would not have been possible.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

Introduction

The Little Nescopeck Creek watershed is located in southern Luzerne County, Pennsylvania. The drainage basin of the Little Nescopeck Creek encompasses approximately 14 square miles and lies within Sugarloaf and Butler Townships, the Borough of Conyngham and a very small portion of Hazel Township. The stream flows from its headwaters in Butler Township approximately 10 miles to its confluence with the Nescopeck Creek in Sybertsville.

The Little Nescopeck Creek, a tributary to Nescopeck Creek, is severely impacted by a water-quality-impaired discharge from the adjacent mined watershed. This project is unique in that the impacted watershed is not directly affected by mining activities. A water level drainage tunnel, the Jeddo, which was constructed to dewater deep mine coal measures in the Eastern Middle Anthracite Field, has interconnected the natural watersheds of the Little Nescopeck and the Hazleton area mining operations. After the collapse of the deep mining industry, the Jeddo Tunnel continues to drain the abandoned mine workings.

The Jeddo Tunnel, which drains 32.24 square miles and discharges an average of 40,000 gallons per minute into the Little Nescopeck Creek, is one of the largest mine water discharges in the anthracite region. Abandoned mine drainage discharge from the Jeddo Tunnel is the major identified source of non-point-source pollution in the Little Nescopeck Creek watershed. Little Nescopeck Creek receives all the flow from the Tunnel. For this reason, significant attention is directed in this plan toward the Jeddo Tunnel system.

The Little Nescopeck Creek is classified as a High Quality-Cold Water Fishery (HQ-CWF) stream above the tunnel discharge, as is Nescopeck Creek upstream from its confluence with the Little Nescopeck. The quality-impaired Little Nescopeck Creek joins Nescopeck Creek, which eventually enters the Susquehanna River near Berwick, Pennsylvania. The impacts of the Little Nescopeck Creek are evident in the Nescopeck Creek, the Susquehanna River and the Chesapeake Bay.

Wildlands Conservancy has received a Pennsylvania Rivers Conservation Program Planning Grant for the Little Nescopeck Creek. A study of the Little Nescopeck Creek and the preparation and publication of a comprehensive Little Nescopeck Creek Watershed Conservation Plan were the intended outcomes of the grant. The Pennsylvania Rivers Conservation Program was created by the Pennsylvania Department of Conservation and Natural Resources (DCNR). The

objective of the program is to conserve, restore and enhance Pennsylvania's rivers through partnership, education, awareness and stewardship.

The primary goals of the Little Nescopeck Creek Project are to restore the physical and biological health of the stream; establish management practices to prevent additional degradation of the stream; preserve critical cultural and natural resource areas within the watershed; and, ultimately, have the Little Nescopeck Creek listed on the Pennsylvania Rivers Registry. Inclusion on the Registry will qualify the Little Nescopeck Creek watershed for technical and financial assistance from the state for restoration and improvement projects.

In order for the Little Nescopeck Creek to be included on the Registry, the management plan must identify the historical, cultural, natural and physical resources along the creek. The plan must also characterize the water quality and aquatic life of the stream, as well as identify any problem areas in the watershed. In addition, the plan must contain recommendations for conservation and preservation of the Little Nescopeck Creek based on information collected as part of this project and input from public hearings and informational meetings with municipalities.

Resource Inventory

Physical Resources

Geology: The Little Nescopeck Creek watershed is located in the mountain region of the Valley and Ridge Physiographic Province. The valley-forming Mauch Chunk Formation underlies the majority of the watershed. The absence of limestone in the watershed results in very low levels of alkalinity in the Little Nescopeck and inhibits the creek from buffering its acidic pollution.

Topography: A narrow valley bounded on the north and south by high ridges typifies the watershed. Nescopeck Mountain forms the northern boundary and Buck Mountain forms the southern boundary. Elevations range from 850 feet in the western portion of the valley to 1800 feet at the eastern tip of the watershed boundary along Nescopeck Mountain.

Aquatic Resources

Surface Water: The Little Nescopeck Creek is approximately 10 miles long with a drainage area of about 14 square miles. The creek has 10 unnamed tributaries. Approximately 2.5 miles from its headwaters, the Little Nescopeck receives an average 40,000-gallon-per-minute acidic discharge from the coalmined watershed to the south via the Jeddo Tunnel. From this point to the confluence with the Nescopeck Creek, the Little Nescopeck is devoid of all aquatic life.

Groundwater: The Mauch Chunk Shale is one of the most productive water-bearing formations in the area. Its low-lying topographic position, between high

ridges and a generally shallow water table, make it favorable for groundwater development.

Biological Resources

Flora: The flora of the Little Nescopeck Creek watershed is representative of the Ridge and Valley Province through much of Pennsylvania. Agricultural areas have been utilized for various field and forage crops. The woodland plant community and, ultimately, residential developed areas that have re-vegetated naturally are made up of various hardwoods, conifers, grasses, legumes and both wild and domestic herbaceous plants.

Fauna: Little Nescopeck Creek is classified as a High Quality-Cold Water Fishery above the Jeddo Tunnel and fish and macro-invertebrate sampling has supported that classification. The stream supports native brook trout, sunfish and bass, but, 2.5 miles downstream from the headwaters and throughout the remaining length of the creek, macro-invertebrate and fish communities are non-existent. Herpetological, avian and mammalian studies are included in this management plan.

Cultural Resources

Historical significance: The early history of the watershed is dominated by the Lenni Lenape and traces back to the passage of the Great Southern Trail of the Iroquois. The most significant historical events related to the watershed's current environmental condition revolve around the anthracite mining industry of the Eastern Middle Coalfield. Many of the historical sites and museums in the area surrounding the Little Nescopeck are devoted to early Native American history and to the rise and fall of the anthracite industry.

Socio-economic background: The immediate watershed of the Little Nescopeck is approximately 14 square miles and is dominated by small agricultural lands and suburban developments. Economic resources tied to the watershed are therefore predominantly located in the surrounding areas of Hazleton and Wilkes-Barre. The restoration of clean water as an economic resource for industry, recreation and drinking must be an extremely high priority for all economic development agencies in the region.

Recreational Resources

Currently the area supports modest systems of golf courses and small public parks in various unnatural stages. Due to the environmental condition of the Little Nescopeck, recreational activities such as swimming, boating, canoeing and white water rafting are currently either limited or made inhospitable. Rail-to-Trail conversion projects are becoming increasingly popular in the area.

Institutional Resources

Several government agencies exist to deal with the issues of mining and abandoned mine drainage in Pennsylvania and include the Office of Surface

Mining, the Pennsylvania Department of Environmental Protection (Pa. DEP) Bureau of Mine Reclamation and the Pa. DEP Bureau of Abandoned Mine Reclamation. Programs that exist to address abandoned mine drainage and mine land reclamation issues include the Regional Watershed Support Initiative, the Clean Streams Initiative and the Reclaim PA project.

Several programs and agencies also exist to assist landowners in land preservation and protection goals. Sources of information pertaining to farmland and open space preservation include Wildlands Conservancy, the Bureau of Farmland Protection, the Land Trust Alliance and the Natural Resources Conservation Service.

There are also a number of agencies and programs dedicated to historic preservation, restoration and education, including the Pennsylvania Historic and Museum Commission and Preservation Pennsylvania.

The Little Nescopeck Creek watershed has a strong need for increased citizen involvement in its protection. With the exception of the small grassroots organization Friends of the Nescopeck, there appears to be very little involvement in the Little Nescopeck clean-up effort by the local community.

Watershed Issues

The Jeddo Tunnel

The Jeddo Tunnel is the largest mine drainage tunnel in the Eastern Middle Anthracite Field and is the primary source of acid-mine and fine-grained coal waste pollution to the Little Nescopeck Creek. It drains an approximately 32.24 square mile area underlain by abandoned deep mines and discharges an average of 40,000 gallons of abandoned mine drainage per minute into the Little Nescopeck near its headwaters, affecting the stream for most of its length.

Water Quality

Water quality has been analyzed for the Little Nescopeck and Nescopeck Creeks, the Jeddo Tunnel and the Susquehanna River. The analysis of these samples shows values typical of waters impacted by Abandoned Mine Drainage in eastern Pennsylvania. High acidity levels, high concentrations of sulfide minerals, the absence of significant carbonate minerals and excessively high concentrations of dissolved metals were evident in the water quality analysis. Average values in the Little Nescopeck Creek between 1996 and 1998 include: acidity, 26.63; pH, 4.86; aluminum, 4.03; iron, 2.01; sulfate, 130.87; manganese, 1.83 and zinc, 0.31 mg/L.

Land Use

Predominant land uses include small to mid-sized farms and existing and future suburban housing developments. The area is also covered with several sections

of woodlands and the Little Nescopeck and Nescopeck Creeks are well insulated by substantial riparian buffers throughout most of their lengths.

Management Options

• Improve Water Quality in the Little Nescopeck Creek and its Tributaries

Abate abandoned mine drainage and restore mine-scarred land. Establish an effective channel network for draining surface water out of the Jeddo Tunnel watershed by re-establishing perimeter drains, constructing new channels outside mined lands, connecting discontinuous drainage ways and reducing their potential for infiltration and filling and sealing closed depressions and pits in the land surface.

Remine and reclaim abandoned mine land that cause abandoned mine drainage by closing and backfilling mine openings, backfilling open pits and eliminating dangerous highwalls. Use Title IV and other SMCRA funding to reclaim priority sites that are causing Acid Mine Drainage.

Control urban non-point source pollution by utilizing both structural and nonstructural control methods.

Revise storm water management practices, restore and establish riparian buffers and increase public involvement in non-point and point source pollution control.

9 Preserve and Protect Valuable Land Resources

Preserve farmland and critical open space by utilizing state, federal and local preservation programs.

Preserve wetlands. They are a very sensitive part of the ecosystem and perform many functions to benefit the Little Nescopeck Creek corridor. Better compliance with wetland regulations is needed to protect these sensitive areas.

Ordinances structured to encourage stewardship of creek resources, protect wellhead areas, protect riparian zones and limit land uses and activities within the stream corridors and floodplains should be created or adopted.

Preserve Historical Resources and Develop Heritage TourismIdentify and preserve regionally and nationally significant historic sites and landscapes within and related to the Little Nescopeck Creek watershed by supporting watershed heritage tourism and program development.

Conduct a systematic survey of the watershed to identify and list potential national registry sites and structures and utilize the training available from the Bureau of Historic Preservation.

Educate residents of the Little Nescopeck Creek watershed about its heritage and value by reaching out to children, elected officials and key individuals.

Build better communities through preservation by strengthening preservation planning, expanding the use of preservation as an economic development strategy and making technical assistance more available to citizens and local governments.

Document Water Quality and Biological Characteristics

Conduct water quality monitoring and regular stream walks through combined efforts of volunteer organizations, educational institutions and individuals in order to monitor physical changes, identify problem areas and note adjustments that should be made in management practices.

Conduct biological monitoring and maintain records of the stream corridor and habitat and vegetative, aquatic and wildlife species present within the corridor in order to recognize and assess threats that may disrupt the balance of the ecosystem.

Establish an efficient system of data management and distribution in order to provide concerned individuals with appropriate contact and reporting information and improve public awareness and communication between conservation groups and educational institutions.

6 Enhance and Increase Watershed Recreational Opportunities Implement Rolls to Trails conversion projects by supporting existing properties.

Implement Rails-to-Trails conversion projects by supporting existing projects, conducting feasibility studies regarding potential projects and examining associated economic benefits. Study the feasibility of developing a greenway along the Little Nescopeck Creek corridor.

Develop a comprehensive plan in order to help guide the development of the Bishop Property recreational area in an environmentally sound and educational manner. Address non-point source pollution, erosion and sedimentation in Whispering Willows Park.

6 Increase Environmental Awareness, Knowledge, Skills and Stewardship Commitment

Provide environmental, heritage and cultural education opportunities to school groups, the general public and local government and business leaders by documenting the entire length of the Little Nescopeck Creek and its biological resources, posting educational signs and developing educational materials that promote public environmental awareness.

Clean up the stream corridors within the watershed on a regular basis. Clean-up activities should be utilized in educational efforts and municipalities and local businesses should sponsor public river corridor clean-up days.

Hold frequent and well-advertised public meetings, utilize local newspapers to focus on public relations and stewardship of the Little Nescopeck Creek and hold periodic seminars on environmental topics affecting the Little Nescopeck Creek.

Summary and Conclusions

The Little Nescopeck Creek watershed is a valuable and unique resource. The headwaters of the creek are designated a High Quality-Cold Water Fishery and support a native brown trout population and its riparian corridor provides excellent woodland habitat to a wide variety of wildlife. The management options have been developed in an attempt to restore, preserve and enhance the value of these resources.

The primary source of degradation to the Little Nescopeck Creek involves more than a century of subsurface and surface mining activities that have left a legacy of physical and chemical contamination of mine water draining the Eastern Middle Coalfield through the Jeddo Tunnel and into the Little Nescopeck. The quality of this water has been greatly affected through contact with acid-producing minerals present in the coal and associated rock when exposed to infiltrating water. The water from the Jeddo Tunnel is predominantly acidic and metal concentrations commonly exceed maximum contamination levels. A reduction in abandoned mine drainage at the mouth of the Jeddo Tunnel will decrease the negative impact on the Little Nescopeck and Nescopeck Creeks and the Susquehanna River.

The abatement of abandoned mine drainage to the Little Nescopeck Creek, along with the implementation of other management practices, would provide significant benefits for its numerous resources, including dramatic improvement of water quality and aquatic life, expansion of wildlife habitat and enhanced scenic and recreational value.

The actions called for in the management plan cannot be effectively implemented without proper education of the public. Education is critical to a healthy watershed. Knowledgeable and concerned citizens and institutions must share information with their neighbors and other contacts in order to strengthen the conservation effort on a watershed-wide basis. By establishing partnerships, resources can be shared and utilized more effectively. Partnerships and open lines of communication among concerned institutions and individuals are essential to the successful restoration and preservation of the Little Nescopeck Creek watershed.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements Executive Summary Table of Contents List of Tables List of Figures	i ii ix xii xiii
1.0 Physical Resources 1.1 Location/Description of the Watershed	1 2 4 7
1.2 Geology and Structure1.3 Topography of the Little Nescopeck Creek Watershed1.4 Soils of the Little Nescopeck Creek Watershed	7 9
2.0 Aquatic Resources 2.1 Little Nescopeck Watershed Hydrology 2.1.1 Surface Water 2.1.2 Wetlands 2.1.3 Floodplains 2.1.4 Ground Water 2.1.5 Aquifers 2.1.6 Precipitation 2.1.7 NPDES Permits 2.2 Hydrology of the Jeddo Tunnel System 2.2.1 Hydraulic Budget Equation 2.2.2 Storm Runoff 2.2.3 Tunnel Discharge 2.2.4 Evapotranspiration 2.2.5 Sub-basin Contributions	13 14 14 18 18 20 21 21 22 23 24 24 25 25
3.0 Biological Resources 3.1 Flora of the Little Nescopeck Creek Watershed 3.1.1 Stream Side Vegetation 3.1.2 Invasive and Exotic Vegetation 3.2 Fauna of the Little Nescopeck Creek Watershed 3.2.1 Aquatic Macro-invertebrates 3.2.2 Lepidoptera and Odnata 3.2.3 Fish 3.2.4 Amphibians and Reptiles 3.2.5 Birds 3.2.6 Wildlife 3.2.7 Species of Special Concern	26 27 29 30 32 33 34 35 36 37 39 40

4.0 Cultural Resources 4.1 Settlement History 4.2 Mining History 4.3 Historical and Archeological Resources 4.4 Jeddo Tunnel History 4.5 Economic Resources 4.5.1 Industry 4.5.2 Transportation 4.5.3 Educational Institutions 4.5.4 Economic Outlook	44 45 46 48 55 58 58 59 59
5.0 Recreational Resources	62
5.1 Existing Recreational Facilities	63 64
5.2 Potential Recreational Assets	
6.0 Institutional Resources	67
6.1 Project Partners	68 68
6.2 Programs and Agencies 6.2.1 Mining Issues	69
6.2.2 Land Protection Issues	70
6.2.3 Historic Preservation	71
6.2.4 State Funding Opportunities	72
6.2.5 Watershed Residents	73
7.0 Abandoned Mine Drainage 7.1 Mine Drainage Tunnels 7.2 Abandoned Mine Drainage Formation 7.3 Mine Drainage Treatment and Elimination Technology 7.3.1 Prevention and Minimization of AMD Formation	75 76 77 78 78
7.3.2 Passive Treatment to Minimize AMD Formation	79
7.4 Remining	82 82
7.5 Reclamation 7.6 Bond Forfeiture	83
7.7 Water Management	83
7.8 Mine Drainage and Aquatic Life	83
7.9 The Jeddo Tunnel	86
8.0 Watershed Issues	90
8.1 Water Quality	91
8.1.1 Review of Existing Water Quality Reports	91 92
8.1.2 Jeddo Tunnel Water Quality8.1.3 Little Nescopeck Creek Water Quality	94
8.1.4 Nescopeck Creek Water Quality	97
8.2 State of the Susquehanna River and Chesapeake Bay	99
8.3 Land Use	101
8.3.1 Agriculture	102

8.3.2 Suburban Development	104
8.3.3 Woodlands	108
8.3.4 Surrounding Landscape	109
8.3.5 Current Mining Activity	109
8.3.6 Current Areas of Remediation	112
8.3.7 Priority of Restoration Options	113
8.4 Municipal Concerns	115
9.0 Management Options Report	117
Goal 1: Improve Water Quality in the Little Nescopeck and its Tri	butaries 118
Goal 2: Preserve and Protect Valuable Land Resources	125
Goal 3: Preserve Historical Resources and Develop Heritage To	urism 128
Goal 3: Preserve Historical Resources and Bovolop Horizoge 19	0010-1132
Goal 4: Document Water Quality and Biological Characteristics	
Goal 5: Enhance and Increase Watershed Recreational Opportu	
Goal 6: Increase Environmental Awareness, Knowledge, Skills as Stewardship Commitment Among Watershed Residents	138
10.0 Conclusion	141
Little Nescopeck Creek Project Implementation Schedule	143
References Cited	145
En and the second of the secon	
Appendices:	
Appendix A Restoration Options for the Rehabilitation of the Jed Watershed	do Tunnel
Appendix B Explanation of Water Quality Parameters	
Appendix C Nescopeck and Little Nescopeck Creeks Water Qui	ality Data
Appendix D Nescopeck Creek and Susquehanna River Water C	Quality Data
Appendix E Nescopeck Creek Water Quality Data	
Appendix F Jeddo Tunnel Water Quality Data	
Appendix G Jeddo Tunnel Flow, Turbidity, Discharge and Rainf	all Data
	formation
Appendix I Environmental Agencies, Programs and Contact Inf	hel ent e i

Transcripts of Public Hearing

LIST OF MAPS

Figure	Number	Page
PARTY TO BE A TOTAL		•
Municipalities of the Watershed	1	3 5
Geologic Formations and Wetlands of the Watershed	3	8
Topographic Map of the Watershed	4	10
Soil Series of the Watershed	5 7	16
The Little Nescopeck and Jeddo Tunnel Watersheds	9	19
Floodplains of the Little Nescopeck Creek	13	50
Historical and Recreational Sites	16	56
The Jeddo Tunnel Drainage System	17	60
Transportation Routes of the Watershed Sub-basins of the Jeddo Tunnel Drainage Basin	20	87
Locations of Current Surface Mining Permits	33	110
Locations of Current Surface Willing Fermite		
<u>LIST OF PHOTOGRAPHS</u>		
The Little Nescopeck as it Flows into the Nescopeck Creek	2	2
The Jeddo Tunnel Outfall Entering the Little Nescopeck	6	15
The Little Nescopeck Creek Upstream from the Jeddo Tunnel	8	14
Riparian Buffers are Intact Along Much of the Little Nescopec	K 10	30
An Abandoned Coal Breaker	11	47
The Sugarloaf Monument	12	49
The Historic Brainerd Church	14	51
The Cedar Grove School	15	52
Poor Stream Management	18	63 65
The Nescopeck Creek	19	86
The Jeddo Tunnel Outflow	21 22	88
The Coal Dust and Sediment Rich Jeddo Tunnel Discharge	23	89
The Nescopeck Creek is a HQ-CWF	24	96
The Water of the Jeddo Tunnel Discharge		101
The Nescopeck Creek as it Flows into the Susquehanna Rive	26	102
The Little Nescopeck Creek is Largely Hidden from View The Watershed Contains Fertile Farmland and Open Space	27	103
A Small Tributary Runs Through Agricultural Areas	28	104
Poor Planning in the Watershed	29	105
Sewage Treatment Plant Outflow	30	105
Storm Water Discharges	31	106
New Suburban Development	32	107
Current Surface Mining	34	112

LIST OF TABLES

*

Table	party Mark Strategy and Michael	Number	Page
	Teate USA Ail		
Annual Water Budget for the	e Jeddo Tunnel Basin	1	23
Base Flow Separations of the	ne Jeddo Tunnel Discharge	2	24
Common Plant Species	lo boddo Tarinio. Die sinange	3	27
Common Flant Species	s of the Little Nescopeck Creek	4	33
Lepidoptera and Odnata of	the Little Nesconeck Creek	5	34
Lepidoptera and Odnata of	Crook	6	36
Fish of the Little Nescopeck	the Wetershed	7	36
Amphibians and Reptiles of	the watershed	8	37
Birds of the Watershed	01 - 15 - 1	9	41
Pa. Natural Diversity Index	Classifications	-	53
Historic Sites Related to the	Little Nescopeck Creek Watershe	d 10	
Analysis of Selected Param	eters for Chronic and Acute Toxicit	y 11	85
Annual Average Water Qua	ality Concentrations	12	95
Population Growth and Dec	line in the Watershed	13	107
List of Mining Permits in the	e Jeddo Tunnel Basin	14	111
Population Growth and Dec List of Mining Permits in the	cline in the Watershed		